

### **PCT**

10/527126

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference CA020071				FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
international application No. PCT/GB 03/04244				International filing date (day/month/year) 01.10.2003		Priority date (day/month/year) 04.10.2002
intern G06I	ational F17/30	Pater		both national classification an	d IPC	
Appiid INTE		TION	IAL BUSINESS MA	CHINES CORPORATIO	ON et al.	
1.	This i	ntern ority a	atlonal preliminary ex nd is transmitted to th	amination report has been ne applicant according to A	prepared b	by this international Preliminary Examining
2.	This	REPO	ORT consists of a total	i of 6 sheets, including thi	s cover she	eet.
		heer	amended and are th	panied by ANNEXES, i.e. s e basis for this report and on 607 of the Administration	or sheets co	e description, claims and/or drawings which have ontaining rectifications made before this Authority ons under the PCT).
	Thes	e anr	nexes consist of a total	il of sheets.		
3.	This	repoi	t contains Indications	relating to the following ite	ms:	
	i	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion			
	П		Priority			
	111		Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to no	oveity, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability
	١٧		Lack of unity of inve			
	٧	Ø	Reasoned statemer citations and explar	nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit nations supporting such sta	th regard to tement	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents	cited		
	VII		Certain defects in the	ne international application		
	VIII		Certain observation	s on the international appli	ication	
Dot	a of eur	micel	on of the demand		Date of cor	mpletion of this report
Dali	o oi sul	-1111031	on or the demand		24,0 0,001	
24.	10.20	03			19.08.20	
		/ exan	ng address of the internation	tional	Authorized	Officer enace
-	<u>0</u> )	D- Te	uropean Patent Office -80298 Munich el. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5	23656 epmu d	Herry, T	
-		- Fa	ax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone	No. +49 89 2399-7406 موسوده مينان د

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04244

l.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages										
	1-39	€	as originally filed								
	Cla	Claims, Numbers									
	1-16		as originally filed								
	Dra	wings, Sheets									
	1/21	-21/21	as originally filed								
2.	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this A language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this ite										
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:								
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).								
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).								
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).								
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any <b>nucle</b> rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:								
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.								
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.								
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.								
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently fumished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that t listing has been fum	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.								
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description,	pages:								
		the claims,	Nos.:								
		the drawings,	sheets:								

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04244

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement cheet containing each amondments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to t

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims
1-16: no

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims
1-16: no

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-16: yes
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InternEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

### Preliminary remark

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15 and 16, <u>drafted as separate independent claims</u>, do not meet the requirements of Art.6 PCT with respect to conciseness. The reasons being as follows:

- (i) these claims appear to relate to the same subject-matter and should have been accordingly defined in terms of a <u>single independent claim per category</u> (Art.6 PCT, Rule 6.1(a) and the PCT Guidelines, C-III, 5: "undue repetition of wording, e.g. between one claim and another, should be avoided by the use of the dependent form");
- (ii) it seems that the <u>number of claims is not justified</u> by the nature of the invention (Rule 6.1a)); <u>lack of clarity of the claims as a whole</u> anses, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

The aforementioned claims as a whole therefore lack conciseness.

#### Item V

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: 'IBM WebSphere Portal Server. Product architecture V2.1' [Online] 19 November 2001, Retrieved from the Internet on 2004-01-26: <URL: http://e.bis.business.utah.edu/resources/w ps\_project.htm>; XP002268018;
- D2: 'Entrust Secure Web Portal Solution for Microsoft Windows NT on Intel Architecture' INTEL WHITE PAPER, May 2001, pages 1-31, XP002199131;
- D3: WO 01 33392 A (GRAY ANDREW ;ALLEN JULIAN (US); NATH SANJIVA (US); RUTSKY KEN (US)) 10 May 2001.
- 1. **Independent claim 1** does not meet the requirements of Art.33(2) PCT for the following reasons: the document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1; it introduces the IBM WebSphere Portal Server and discloses all the features of claim 1:
  - (i) <u>a portal server ... to provide access to said web application</u>: see e.g. pages 5, 6 and 11;

- (ii) a portlet application, for managing the collection of associated portlets, for operating on said portal server: see e.g. pages 10 and 11;
- (iii) access means to access a rules database adapted to store rules: see the "rules engine" disclosed on p.13: ".. the user bean class of the portal server is already enabled for use in WebSphere Personalisation Rules..";
- (iv) <u>said rules comprising rules controlling display of sets of portlets, pages, page groups to users</u>: see e.g. p.13: ".. a rule might display special discounts to gold customers, but only during the summer months.."
- (v) <u>selection means to select a set of portlets, pages, and page groups ...</u> <u>based on information provided by said user</u>: see pages 22-26, e.g. "...the user's list of portlets..." on p.23.
- 2. The documents **D2** and **D3**, both dealing with a "role- and rule-based access control in a portal environment, each of them taken alone, also destroy the novelty of **claim 1**. See, in particular, in **D2** pages 3-8; and in **D3** the abstract and the figures.
- 3. Independent claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15 and 16 are based on claim 1 and do not meet the requirements of Art.33(2) PCT for the same reasons as stated in the above points of this opinion. With respect to "user roles"/"roles database" refer to D1, p.23: "...group membership information..." as well as to "...store additional user data in a relational database tables", as well as to p.25-26: "The user bean class also gives access to group information...", or "...The access control interface of the portal integrates with the user and group beans to find out which portlets a user is authorized to use... use this information to filter the list of portlets that are displayed".
- 4. The dependent claims do not seem to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, the reasons being as follows: these features are either known to a person skilled in the art and already anticipated by the cited prior art documents D1 to D3 (refer to the passages cited in the above points and to those of the International Search Report), or represent only a normal design procedure for a person skilled in the art. With respect to claims 2, 7 and 13 refer e.g. to D2, p.7, I.5-11.

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/04244 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

#### **Final remarks**

The applicant should have filed new claims in terms of a <u>single independent claim</u> <u>per Category</u>, which take account of the above comments, which are drafted in the <u>two-part form</u> (Rule 6.3(b) PCT), are provided with <u>reference signs</u> (Rule 6.2(b) PCT), and comprise the same or corresponding "<u>special technical features</u>" (Rule 13 PCT).

The documents <u>D1 to D3</u> should have been identified in the description and briefly discussed (Rule 5.1.a)ii) PCT).